SYSTEM	FAR/DFARS Provision	CLAUSE	PGI	DRIVER	FREQUENCY OF REVIEW	OUT-OF-CYCLE REVIEW	FUNCTIONAL SPECIALIST/ AUDITOR
				High Risk (per Inst)	Annual	Type and scope of deficiencies	2
	245 407	252 245 7002	245-105	Moderate Risk	Minimum every 3 years		Property Administrator (1103)
Property	245.107	<u>252.245-7003</u>	244-305-70	Low Risk	Minimum every 3 years	Control to the state of the sta	
	44.302; 44.304; 244.301	52.244-2 252.244- 7001 252.242-7005	244-305-70	Qualifying sales expected to exceed \$25M during next 12 months	Initial review, then assess every 3 years or iaw	Contractor reaches threshold for review. Contractor reorganizes. Contractor	
Purchasing		/001 252.242-/005			Surveillance Plan (44.304)	implements new system. Changes in purchasing policies, procedures or key personnel.	Contract Specialist (1102)
						Changes in plant workload or type of work.	, , , ,
				Contractor had at least \$40M in qualifying sales in the past physical year	Whenever the sytem is determined to be at risk. The	ACO will anually review all contractors that meet the review criteria to determine if the	
						system is at risk. Major risk factors include demonstrated deficiencies, new or	
MMAS	<u>242.72</u>	252.242-7004	242.72		in 3 years.	significant modification to the system and time between reviews.	DCAA/1150/1102/1103/0896
				For cost or incentive contracts and subcontracts valued at \$50,000,000 or more, the contractor shall	Initial EVMS Validation Review to approve system	An IR may also be required when significant EVM system description changes warrant	
				have an earned value management system (EVMS) that has been determined by the cognizant Federal	(normally 2 year cycle IAW the Compliance Review	an on-site review to determine compliance with the implementation of process	
				agency to be in compliance with the guidelines in ANSI/EIA-748. DCMA has responsibility for reviewing	Instruction (CRI) comprised of an Initial Visit (IV),	changes, or a Revie for Cause (RFC) is a formal review to solve a major system	
				EVMS plans and for verifying initial and continuing contractor compliance with DoD EVMS criteria.	Readiness Assessment (RA), and Validation Review (VR),	, application problem identified by the Program Manager (PM), EVMS Functional	
					or an Implementation Review (IR) to extend a	Specialist, or other stakeholder organization on a specific contract(s). The primary	
					contractor's previously accepted system from one	objectives of the RFC are to determine ongoing compliance with the contractor's	
					program phase to another (i.e., System Design and	EVMS, investigate actual/potential EVMS deficiencies, evaluate progress against a	
					Development to Production), from one contractor	Corrective Action Plan (CAP), identify remaining actions required to reaffirm system	
					facility to another, or from a corporate system to	acceptability, ensure accuracy and reliability of performance data generated for	
						government contracts, and determine if the EVMS acceptance should be disapproved	
					also normally required to approve a system that has		
51.0046	24.2. 224.204.242.202	252 224 7002	224.2		been disapproved. Ongoing EVMS surveillance of 32 EVMS Guidelines - annual to periodic (less frequent) as		FIRMS Constitution of DCAA Application
EVMS	34.2; <u>234.201;242.302</u>	252.234-7002	234.2		determined by risk IAW with Standard Surveillance		EVMS Specialist and DCAA Auditor
					Instruction (SSI).		
					matruction (331).		
				(i) In its preceding fiscal year, the contractor received DoD prime contracts or subcontracts totalling \$50			
Estimating	215.407-5-70(b)(2)	252.215-7002	215.4	million or more for which cost or pricing data were required; or (ii) In its preceding fiscal year, the	DCAA Contract Audit Manual 5-1203c. Every 3 years	During ongoing proposal reviews, any noted breach of requirements set forth at DFARS	DCAA Auditor
Latinating	213.407-3-70(0)(2)	232.213-7002	213.4	contractor received DoD prime contracts or subcontracts totaling \$10 million or more (but less than \$50	unless excepted for low or high risk.	252.215.7002(d)	DCAA Additor
				million) for which cost or pricing data were required and the contracting officer, with concurrence or at			
				the request of the ACO, determines it to be in the best interest of the Government (e.g., significant			
				estimating problems are believed to exist or the contractor's sales are predominantly Government).			
						Contractors receiving cost-reimbursement, incentive type, time-and-materials, or labor-	
Accounting	242.75	252.242-7006	N/A	DCAA Contract Audit Manual	Every 12 months to support use of progress payment	hour contracts, or contracts which provide for progress payments based on costs or on	DCAA Auditor
Accounting	242./3	232.242-7000	IV/A	Dean Contract Addit Mandai	financing	a percentage or stage of completion, shall maintain an accounting system.	DCAA Additor
						9 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
-		+			"	+	